

GOOD SELF DUAL CODES EXIST

F.J. MacWILLIAMS and N.J.A. SLOANE

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc., Murray Hill, N.J. 07974, U.S.A.

and

J.G. THOMPSON

University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England

Received 6 November 1971

Abstract. It is shown that for any block length n which is a multiple of 8, there exists a binary self dual code in which all weights are divisible by 4, and the minimum weight is asymptotically the same as that given by the Varshamov–Gilbert bound.

§1. Preliminaries

Let F^n denote the vector space of all vectors of length n with components from $\text{GF}(2)$. The *weight* of a vector $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ in F^n is the number of nonzero u_j , and is denoted by $w(u)$.

For vectors u, v in F^n we define

$$u * v = (u_1 v_1, u_2 v_2, \dots, u_n v_n).$$

Clearly $w(u * v)$ is the number of places in which both u_j and v_j are 1. We frequently use the obvious formula

$$w(u + v) = w(u) + w(v) - 2w(u * v). \quad (1.1)$$

The scalar product in F^n is defined by

$$(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j v_j,$$

where the sum is evaluated in $\text{GF}(2)$.

If $(u, v) = 0$ we say that u and v are *orthogonal*. A vector u is orthogonal to itself, or *self-orthogonal*, if and only if $w(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$; i.e., all even weight vectors are self-orthogonal.

A code \mathcal{C} is a subspace of F^n , and the vectors in \mathcal{C} are called codewords. If the dimension of \mathcal{C} is k , and the smallest nonzero weight of a vector in \mathcal{C} is d , we write $\mathcal{C}[n, k, d]$ or simply $\mathcal{C}[n, k]$.

The *dual* code of $\mathcal{C}[n, k]$ is

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp[n, n-k] = \{v \in F^n : (v, c) = 0 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C}\};$$

\mathcal{C}^\perp obviously has dimension $n - k$.

If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$ we say \mathcal{C} is *strongly self dual*. The symbols \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} will be reserved for strongly self dual codes. The dimension of a strongly self dual code is $\frac{1}{2}n$, and so n must be even, say $n = 2t$. We frequently write t instead of $\frac{1}{2}n$.

If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^\perp$, every vector $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is self-orthogonal; hence $w(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Therefore the vector $\mathbf{1}$ of weight n is orthogonal to every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}^\perp = \mathcal{A}$.

The set of strongly self dual codes will be denoted by α . Let β denote the (possibly empty) subset of α consisting of all self dual codes in which the weight of every codeword is divisible by 4. Let $\alpha - \beta$ be the set of codes which are in α but not in β .

Since $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}$ for every \mathcal{A} , the set β is empty unless n is divisible by 4. In fact we show in Corollary 4.7 that β is nonempty if and only if n is divisible by 8.

If $\mathcal{C}[n, k]$ is such that

$$\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}[n, k] \subset \mathcal{C}^\perp[n, n-k],$$

we say that \mathcal{C} is *weakly self dual*. Since $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}$, every vector in \mathcal{C}^\perp has even weight.

§ 2. The theorems

We prove the following main theorems and corollaries.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n = 2t$. Let $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ be a weakly self dual code. The number of codes in α which contain $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ is*

$$(2^{t-s} + 1)(2^{t-s-1} + 1) \dots (2^2 + 1)(2 + 1).$$

The case $s = 1$ of Theorem 2.1 was given by Pless [3, 4].

Theorem 2.2. *Let n be divisible by 8. Let $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ be a weakly self dual code in which all codewords have weight divisible by 4. The number of codes in β which contain $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ is*

$$(2^{t-s-1} + 1)(2^{t-s-2} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)2.$$

Corollary 2.3. *The number of codes in β is*

$$(2^{t-2} + 1)(2^{t-3} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)2.$$

Recall that they all contain the code $\mathcal{C}[n, 1]$ consisting of the vectors $0, 1$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let v be a vector other than $0, 1$ with $w(v) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. The number of codes in β which contain v is*

$$(2^{t-3} + 1)(2^{t-4} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)2.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let r be the largest integer such that*

$$\binom{n}{4} + \binom{n}{8} + \binom{n}{12} + \dots + \binom{n}{4(r-1)} < 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-2} + 1.$$

Then there exists a self dual code in which all weights are divisible by 4 and with minimum weight at least $4r$.

Theorem 2.5 is an immediate consequence of Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4; the proof is left as an exercise.

§3. Comparison with the Varshamov–Gilbert bound

The Varshamov–Gilbert bound for self dual codes (Peterson [2, p.51]) states that if d is the largest integer such that

$$1 + \binom{n-1}{1} + \binom{n-1}{2} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{d-2} < 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}, \quad (3.1)$$

then an $[n, \frac{1}{2}n, d]$ code exists.

Asymptotically, Theorem 2.5 and (3.1) are equivalent; they both imply that there exist codes with $\frac{k}{n} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{d}{n} = H^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}) \approx 0.110$, where $H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2(1-x)$, and H^{-1} denotes the inverse of H , not the reciprocal (see Peterson [2, p. 52, eq. (4.6)].)

For small values of n , Table 1 gives: d_1 , the value of d guaranteed by the Varshamov–Gilbert bound (3.1); d_2 , the value of d guaranteed by Theorem 2.5; and d_3 , the largest minimum weight of any *known* self dual code in which all weights are divisible by 4. The values of d_3 are

Table 1
Minimum nonzero weights of self dual codes

Length n	Lower bounds		Known d_3
	d_1	d_2	
8	3	4	4
16	4	4	4
24	5	4	8
32	6	4	8
40	7	8	8
48	8	8	12
56	9	8	12
64	9	8	12
72	10	12	12
80	11	12	16
88	12	12	16
96	13	12	12
104	14	12	20
112	15	16	16

either taken from Berlekamp [1], Fig. 15.02 (corrected) and Table 16.1], or are obtained using the $(x, c+y)$ construction given in [5], or were communicated by Maurice Farlin.

§4. The proofs

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 2.1 (easy) and 2.2 (hard).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\sigma_{n,k}$, $s \leq k < t$, be the number of weakly self dual codes $\mathcal{E}[n, k]$ which contain the given code $\mathcal{E}[n, s]$. (Clearly $\sigma_{n,s} = 1$.) We establish a recursion formula for $\sigma_{n,k}$.

A particular $\mathcal{E}[n, k]$ can be extended to a suitable $\mathcal{E}[n, k+1]$ by adjoining any vector of $\mathcal{E}^\perp[n, n-k]$ which is not already there. Write \mathcal{E}^\perp as the union of $2^{n-k}/2^k$ translates of \mathcal{E} ,

$$\mathcal{E}^\perp = \mathcal{E} \cup (h_1 + \mathcal{E}) \cup \dots \cup (h_l + \mathcal{E}),$$

where $l = 2^{n-2k} - 1$.

We have l different extensions of $\mathcal{E}[n, k]$, namely $\mathcal{E} \cup (h_j + \mathcal{E})$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$. In any one of these extensions there are $2^{k-s+1} - 1$ subspaces $\mathcal{E}'[n, k]$ which contain the given $\mathcal{E}[n, s]$, since that is the number of nonzero vectors in $\mathcal{E} \cup (h_j + \mathcal{E})$ which are orthogonal to $\mathcal{E}[n, s]$. Thus for $s \leq k < t$,

$$\sigma_{n,k+1} = \sigma_{n,k} \cdot \frac{2^{n-2k} - 1}{2^{k-s+1} - 1}.$$

Starting from $\sigma_{n,s} = 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,t} &= \frac{(2^{n-2s} - 1)(2^{n-2s-2} - 1) \dots (2^2 - 1)}{(2 - 1)(2^2 - 1)(2^3 - 1) \dots (2^{t-s} - 1)} \\ &= (2^{t-s} + 1)(2^{t-s-1} + 1) \dots (2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

For Theorem 2.2 we need several subsidiary lemmas. The first one is well known.

Let G be a commutative group, with group operation $+$. (In our applications G is always a code.) Let G^+ be a subgroup of G and write $G = G^+ \cup G^-$, where G^+ and G^- are disjoint. Suppose for all $b_1, b_2 \in G^-$, it follows that $b_1 + b_2 \in G^+$. Then:

Lemma 4.1. *If G^- is not empty, then $|G^+| = |G^-| = \frac{1}{2}|G|$ (where $|x|$ denotes the order of the set x).*

Proof. If $b \in G^-$ so is $-b$, since the zero of G is in G^+ . Let b be a fixed element of G^- . For any other element b' of G^- we have

$$b' = b + (b' - b) = b + a', \quad a' \in G^+.$$

Thus

$$G^- = b + G^+, \quad \text{and} \quad |G^-| = |G^+| = \frac{1}{2}|G|.$$

We need the following applications of this basic lemma.

Corollary 4.2. *Let n be even, and let $\mathcal{A}[n, t]$ belong to $\alpha - \beta$. Then \mathcal{A} contains 2^{t-1} codewords with weight divisible by 4.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{A}[n, t] = G$, and $G^+ = \{A \in \mathcal{A}; w(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}$. If $A_1, A_2 \in G^+$, so is $A_1 + A_2$ by (1.1). The other necessary properties are easily verified.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\Lambda[n, k]$ be a code, and let u be a vector of F^n not in Λ^\perp . Then u is orthogonal to exactly 2^{k-1} codewords of Λ .*

Proof. Let $G = \Lambda[n, k]$ and $G^+ = \{v \in \Lambda; (u, v) = 0\}$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let n be even. Let $\mathcal{C}[n, k]$ be a weakly self dual code, such that $w(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for all codewords c . Let f be a vector of F^n such that $f \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp$, and $w(f) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $w(f + c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for 2^{k-1} vectors $c \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. The proof uses Lemma 4.4 and the observations that

$$w(f + c) \equiv w(f) \pmod{4} \text{ if } (f, c) = 0,$$

$$w(f + c) \equiv w(f) + 2 \pmod{4} \text{ if } (f, c) = 1.$$

Let n be divisible by 4. Let K_4 be the number of vectors v in F^n such that $w(v) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let K_2 be the number of vectors such that $w(v) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. (Of course $K_2 + K_4 = 2^{n-1}$.)

Lemma 4.5. (i). *If $\frac{1}{4}n$ is odd,*

$$K_2 = 2^{2t-2} + 2^{t-1}, \quad K_4 = 2^{2t-2} - 2^{t-1}.$$

(ii). *If $\frac{1}{4}n$ is even,*

$$K_2 = 2^{2t-2} - 2^{t-1}, \quad K_4 = 2^{2t-2} + 2^{t-1}.$$

Proof. In the identity $(1 + x)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j$ set $x = 1, -1, i, -i$. We readily obtain

$$4K_4 = 4 \sum_{j=0}^{n/4} \binom{n}{4j} = 2^n + (1 + i)^n + (1 - i)^n.$$

Since $1 \pm i = \sqrt{2} e^{\pm \pi i/4}$, the lemma follows immediately.

We now establish the important result that if n is divisible by 8, any weakly self dual code in which all weights are divisible by 4 can be extended to a strongly self dual code with the same property.

Lemma 4.6. *Let n be divisible by 4, and let $\mathcal{C}[n, k]$ be a weakly self dual code such that $w(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for $c \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\frac{1}{4}n$ is even, \mathcal{C} is contained in a code of β . If $\frac{1}{4}n$ is odd, there is no code of β which contains \mathcal{C} .*

Corollary 4.7. *Strongly self dual codes $\mathcal{C}[n, \frac{1}{2}n]$ in which all weights are divisible by 4 exist if and only if n is divisible by 8.*

Take $\mathcal{C}[n, k] = \{0, 1\}$ in Lemma 4.6.

Proof of Lemma 4.6. By Theorem 2.1 there is a code of α such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}^\perp$. By Corollary 4.2, \mathcal{A} contains at least 2^{t-1} vectors A with $w(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Hence we can augment $\mathcal{C}[n, k]$ to $\mathcal{C}[n, k+1]$ by adjoining a vector A with $w(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ as long as $2^k < 2^{t-1}$. (It is readily checked by (1.1) that all codewords of the extended code have weights divisible by 4.)

It remains to consider the case $k = t - 1$. We have

$$\mathcal{C}[n, t-1] \subset \mathcal{C}^\perp[n, t+1].$$

All codewords in \mathcal{C} have weight divisible by 4; the question is whether there are any more such vectors in $\mathcal{C}^\perp - \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\hat{F}[n, n-1]$ be the code consisting of all even weight vectors of F^n , and write \hat{F} as the union of $2^{n-1}/2^{t-1} = 2^t$ translates of \mathcal{C} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F} = & \mathcal{C} \cup (g_1 + \mathcal{C}) \cup (g_2 + \mathcal{C}) \cup (g_3 + \mathcal{C}) \cup (f + \mathcal{C}) \cup \dots \\ & \cup (f' + \mathcal{C}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first four translates comprise \mathcal{C}^\perp , and the remaining $2^t - 4$ make up $\hat{F} - \mathcal{C}^\perp$. By Corollary 4.4, each translate $(f + \mathcal{C})$, $f \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp$ contains 2^{t-2} vectors v with $w(v) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. This part of the decomposition of \hat{F} contains $2^{t-2}(2^t - 4) = 2^{n-2} - 2^t$ such vectors.

Since $g_j \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$, $w(g_j + \mathcal{C}) \equiv w(g_j) \pmod{4}$. Let ϵ ($= 0, 1, 2, 3$) be the number of g_j with $w(g_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In the three translates $(g_j + \mathcal{C})$ there are $2^{t-1} \cdot \epsilon$ vectors with weights divisible by 4. In \mathcal{C} itself there are 2^{t-1} such vectors. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_4 &= 2^{t-1} + \epsilon \cdot 2^{t-1} + 2^{n-2} - 2^t \\ &= 2^{n-2} + 2^{t-1} + \epsilon \cdot 2^{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consulting Lemma 4.5 we see that $\epsilon = 0$ if $\frac{1}{2}n$ is odd, in which case $\mathcal{C}^\perp[n, t+1] - \mathcal{C}[n, t-1]$ contains no vectors with weight divisible by 4, and \mathcal{C} cannot be extended to a code of β .

If $\frac{1}{4}n$ is even, $e = 2$ and $\mathcal{C}[n, t-1]$ has two extensions in β and one in $\alpha - \beta$. This completes the proof.

Now assume that n is divisible by 8. Let $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ be a weakly self dual code such that $w(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for $c \in \mathcal{C}$. We will find the number of codes in $\alpha - \beta$ which contain $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$. For this we need another lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *The number of vectors H in $\mathcal{C}^\perp[n, n-s]$ such that $w(H) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ is $2^{n-s-1} - 2^{t-1}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.6 there is a code \mathfrak{B} such that

$$\mathcal{C}[n, s] \subset \mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{C}^\perp[n, n-s].$$

Write \mathcal{C}^\perp as the union of $2^{n-s}/2^t$ translates of \mathfrak{B} ,

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathfrak{B} \cup (f_1 + \mathfrak{B}) \cup \dots \cup (f_l + \mathfrak{B}),$$

where $l = 2^{t-s} - 1$. Since $f_j \notin \mathfrak{B}^\perp$, each translate $(f_j + \mathfrak{B})$ contains 2^{t-1} vectors H with $w(H) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Hence the total number of such H is $2^{t-1}(2^{t-s} - 1) = 2^{n-s-1} - 2^{t-1}$.

Lemma 4.9. *The number of codes in $\alpha - \beta$ which contain $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ is*

$$(2^{t-s-1} + 1)(2^{t-s-2} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)(2^{t-s} - 1).$$

Proof. To make sure that the code is in $\alpha - \beta$ we immediately adjoin to $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ one of the vectors H of the preceding lemma; say

$$\mathcal{C}_H[n, s+1] = \mathcal{C} \cup (H + \mathcal{C}).$$

The number of strongly self dual codes which contain $\mathcal{C}_H[n, s+1]$ for a particular H is given by Theorem 2.1, starting with $\sigma_{n,s+1} = 1$, and is $(2^{t-s-1} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)$. Each such code contains 2^{t-1} vectors H with $w(H) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Hence the number of distinct codes for all suitable H is

$$(2^{t-s-1} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)(2^{n-s-1} - 2^{t-1})/2^{t-1}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We subtract from the total number of strongly self dual codes which contain $\mathcal{C}[n, s]$ (Theorem 2.1) the number which lie in $\alpha - \beta$ (Lemma 4.9), viz.

$$\begin{aligned} & (2^{t-s} + 1)(2^{t-s-1} + 1) \dots (2 + 1) - (2^{t-s-1} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)(2^{t-s} - 1) \\ &= (2^{t-s-1} + 1)(2^{t-s-2} + 1) \dots (2 + 1)2 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] F.R. Berlekamp, Algebraic coding theory (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968).
- [2] W.W. Peterson, Error-correcting codes (MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1961).
- [3] V.S. Pless, The number of isotropic subspaces in a finite geometry, *Accad. Nazl. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. e Natur.* VIII, 39 (1965).
- [4] V.S. Pless, On the uniqueness of the Golay code, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 5 (3) (1968) 215-228.
- [5] N.J.A. Sloane and D.S. Whitehead, A new family of single-error correcting codes, *IEEE Trans. Information Theory* IT-16 (6) (1970) 717-719.