On Asymmetric Coverings and Covering Numbers

David Applegate, E. M. Rains, N. J. A. Sloane

Information Sciences Research Center, AT&T Shannon Lab, Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-0971, E-mail: david@research.att.com, rains@idaccr.org, njas@research.att.com

Received February 5, 2002; revised May 29, 2002

Abstract: An asymmetric covering $\mathcal{D}(n,R)$ is a collection of special subsets S of an n-set such that every subset T of the n-set is contained in at least one special S with $|S|-|T| \leq R$. In this paper we compute the smallest size of any $\mathcal{D}(n,1)$ for $n \leq 8$. We also investigate "continuous" and "banded" versions of the problem. The latter involves the classical covering numbers C(n,k,k-1), and we determine the following new values: C(10,5,4)=51, C(11,7,6)=84, C(12,8,7)=126, C(13,9,8)=185, and C(14,10,9)=259. We also find the number of nonisomorphic minimal covering designs in several cases. © 2003 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Combin Designs 11: 218–228, 2003; Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI 10.1002/jcd.10022

Keywords: covering designs; covering numbers; Turán problem

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D(n,R) denote the smallest size of any asymmetric covering $^1 \mathcal{D}(n,R)$. Prompted by applications to the manufacture of semiconductor wafers, Cooper, Ellis, and Kahng [7] have investigated the asymptotic behavior of D(n,R) for fixed R as $n \to \infty$. In Section 2 of the present paper we show that the values of D(n,1) for $n \le 8$ are as shown in Table I.²

Our method of attack is to formulate D(n, 1) as the solution to a $\{0, 1\}$ -integer programming problem. If, instead, we allow the variables to take any real values in

Present address for E. M. Rains: Center for Communications Research, Princeton, NJ 08540.

Correspondence to: David Applegate, Information Science Research Center, AT&T Shannon Lab, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0971. E-mail: david@research.att.com

¹These are called *directed coverings* in [7]. However, that term has already been used in the literature with a different meaning (cf. [15]).

²This is sequence A66000 in [18]. If any further values are computed they will be recorded there.

 $^{\ \ \}bigcirc$ 2003 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

n	E(n)	D(n,1)	C(n)
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3
4	5	6	6
5	$8\frac{1}{2}$	10	10
6	$14\frac{5}{6}$	18	18
7	$26\frac{3}{8}$	31	31
8	$47\frac{23}{40}$	58	60
9	$ 8\frac{1}{2} $ $ 14\frac{5}{6} $ $ 26\frac{3}{8} $ $ 47\frac{23}{40} $ $ 86\frac{553}{720} $?	106
10	$159\frac{353}{560}$?	196
11	$295\frac{3337}{4480}$?	352

TABLE I. Size D(n,1) of Smallest Asymmetric 1-Covering of an *n*-Set, Together With Values of the Continuous and Banded Solutions E(n) and C(n)

the range [0, 1], then the linear program can be solved exactly, as shown in Section 3. Of course, this provides a lower bound E(n) to D(n, 1).

An upper bound can be obtained by restricting to asymmetric coverings with a certain banded structure defined in Section 4. Corollary 4.2 shows that the solution C(n) to the banded version of the problem is given by

$$C(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} C(n+1, n+1-2i, n-2i), \tag{1}$$

where as usual C(v, k, t) denotes the smallest size of any *covering design* C(v, k, t); that is, any collection of special k-subsets S of a v-set such that any t-subset T is contained in at least one S.

Although there have been a large number of papers written about covering designs (for recent work see [5, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 19]), not many exact values are known. In Section 5, we determine several new values of C(n,k,k-1). Call a covering design C(n,k,t) optimal if it contains the smallest number C(n,k,t) of subsets, and *minimal* if it is no longer a covering if any subset is omitted. In Section 5, we also determine the number of nonisomorphic optimal covering designs C(n,k,k-1) for n up through 10, as well as the number of minimal covering designs for n up through 7. See Tables IV, V in Section 5.

Using these results, we obtain the values of C(n) for $n \le 11$ shown in Table I.

Some of the literature on covering designs works with the complements of the special sets, in which case this is called the *Turán design problem* (cf. [6]), and the *Turán number* T(v, k, t) is equal to C(v, v - t, v - k). Of course, our results also provide new values for certain Turán numbers.

Notation. Let \mathbb{F}_2^n denote the set of binary vectors of length n. We represent subsets of an n-set by their indicator vectors in \mathbb{F}_2^n , and then an asymmetric covering $\mathcal{D}(n,R)$ can be thought of as a binary code called an "asymmetric covering code." As usual

weight (denoted wt.) and distance (dist.) refer to Hamming weight and Hamming distance. The *co-weight* of $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is n - wt(u). Two codes, coverings or designs are isomorphic if they differ just by a permutation of the coordinates.

2. VALUES OF D(n, 1) FOR $n \le 8$

Let x_u , $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, be real $\{0,1\}$ -valued variables. Then D(n,1) is equal to the minimal value of

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} x_u \tag{2}$$

subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, u \supset v} x_u + x_v \ge 1, \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \tag{3}$$

where $u \supset v$ indicates that u covers v and dist(u, v) = 1. If $u = 11 \cdots 1$, then necessarily $x_u = 1$. The corresponding asymmetric covering code consists of the vectors $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ for which $x_u = 1$. For example, D(3, 1) = 3, and the code (which is unique up to permutation of the coordinates) is

$$\{111, 110, 001\}.$$
 (4)

Every binary vector of length 3 is either in this code or is contained in a codeword at distance 1 below it.

We call the above minimization problem the exact integer programming (IP) problem. If we relax the constraints and allow the x_u to take any real values in the range [0,1], we get a continuous linear programming (LP) problem, whose solution we denote by E(n).

Theorem 2.1. The values of D(n, 1) for $n \le 8$ are as shown in Table I.

Proof. We attacked the IP problem using CPLEX [8] with AMPL [9] as a convenient interface. CPLEX uses a branch and bound strategy for such problems. We regard solutions obtained in this way as perfectly rigorous, since the computations could in principle be replaced by extremely tedious hand calculations.

For $n \le 7$, CPLEX was able to find solutions directly, without any additional assumptions being added. Explicit solutions are described in Section 4.

For n = 8, we must show that D(8, 1) = 58. A solution of size 58 found by CPLEX is given in Table II. (Each vector is represented by two hexadecimal characters. This

TABLE II. Minimal Asymmetric Covering $\mathcal{D}(8,1)$ of Length 8 Containing 58 Sets (Represented in Hexadecimal)

01	07	0A	11	1E	28	2D	33	34	37	3B	4B	4C	52	55	57	5D	61	66	6E
6F	73	75	78	7E	7F	84	89	8F	96	98	99	9F	A2	A5	AA	В3	BB	BC	BD
C0	C3	CC	D5	DA	DB	DD	E6	E7	E9	EE	EF	F0	F6	F7	F9	FE	FF		

covering has no apparent structure—in particular, it has trivial automorphism group.) To show that 57 is impossible, we argue as follows. If we add the extra assumption that there are at most eight codewords of weight 5 to the continuous LP problem, the solution is at least 63. Therefore, there must be at least nine codewords of weight 5. From the tables of constant weight codes [4], it follows that there must be two codewords of weight 5 and distance exactly two apart. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u_1 = 11111000$ and $u_2 = 11110100$ are in the code.

Suppose the code contains a vector u_3 of weight 3 with $dist(u_1, u_3) = 6$ and $dist(u_2, u_3) = 4$, say $u_3 = 00010101$. Then CPLEX finds that the minimal solution to the IP problem is 58. On the other hand, if no such vector u_3 is present (this rules out 16 vectors of weight 3), no feasible solution to the IP problem of size ≤ 57 exists. Hence D(8, 1) = 58.

The total computing time for these calculations was less than 48 h.

3. SOLUTION TO THE CONTINUOUS LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM

Theorem 2. The optimal solution to the continuous LP problem of choosing $0 \le x_u \le 1$ for $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ so as to minimize (2) subject to (3) is given by

$$E(n) = (-1)^n n! \{ R_n(2) - R_n(1) R_{n-1}(1) \}$$
(5)

where

$$R_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!}$$
 (6)

is the degree n partial sum of e^{-x} .

Proof. We may assume that x_u depends only on the weight of u. (Let y_u denote the average value of x_v over all v with wt(v) = wt(u). Then by averaging (3), we see that the y_u satisfy the same constraints as the x_u , and

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}y_u=\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}x_u.$$

So a symmetrized solution is just as good as a general solution.)

The "weight enumerator" of a symmetrized solution x_u is defined by $A_w = \sum_{wt(u)=w} x_u$ for $w=0,\ldots,n$. The quotes are needed because the x_u are, in general, not integers. Let $A(z) = \sum_{w=0}^{n} A_w z^w$.

The covering condition (3) reads

$$wA_w + A_{w-1} \ge \binom{n}{w-1}, \quad w = 1, \dots, n,$$

or in other words

$$A(z) + A'(z) \ge (z+1)^n.$$
 (7)

We wish to choose $A_0, \ldots, A_n \ge 0$, so as to minimize A(1) subject to (7). The dual problem (compare [14, Chapter 17]) is to choose $B_0, \ldots, B_n \ge 0$, so as to maximize B(1) subject to

$$B(z) + B'(z) \le (z+1)^n \tag{8}$$

where $B(z) = \sum_{w=0}^{n} B_{w} z^{w}$. We claim that

$$B(z) = (-1)^{n} n! \{R_{n}(z+1) - R_{n-1}(1)R_{n}(z)\}$$
(9)

is a feasible solution to the dual problem. In fact, it is straightforward to verify that $B_w \ge 0$ for all w and

$$B(z) + B'(z) = (z+1)^n - R_{n-1}(1)z^n$$
.

Since $R_{n-1}(1) \ge 0$, (8) holds.

Therefore

$$B(1) = (-1)^{n} n! \{R_{n}(2) - R_{n}(1)R_{n-1}(1)\}\$$

is an upper bound to the optimal solution to the primal problem.

On the other hand

$$A(z) = (-1)^{n} n! \{R_n(z+1) - R_n(1)R_{n-1}(z)\}\$$

satisfies

$$A(z) + A'(z) = (z+1)^n + R_n(1)nz^{n-1}$$

and is easily checked to be a feasible solution to the primal problem. Since A(1) = B(1), this must be the optimal solution to both problems.

Corollary 3.1. As $n \to \infty$,

$$E(n) \sim 2^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{3}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \right).$$
 (10)

We omit the routine derivation of this from (5).

The first few values of E(n) are shown in Table I.

4. BANDED SOLUTIONS

Let \mathcal{D} be an asymmetric covering $\mathcal{D}(n,1)$. We call \mathcal{D} banded if every vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ with odd co-weight is covered by a vector $u \in \mathcal{D}$ of weight one higher.

For example, (4) is banded, since the vector 000 is covered by 001 and the vectors 011, 101, 110 are all covered by 111.

	, ,																	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0		1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0		
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1		
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1		0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1		
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0		
(a)				(b)					(c)					(d)				

TABLE III. The Four Nonisomorphic Minimal Asymmetric Coverings $\mathcal{D}(4,1),$ of Size D(4,1)=6

(a) and (b) are banded, (c) and (d) are not.

Theorem 4.1. If a code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{n+1}$ is a union of covering designs,

$$C = \bigcup_{i=0}^{[n/2]} C(n+1, n+1-2i, n-2i), \tag{11}$$

then deleting³ any one coordinate from all the vectors of C yields a banded asymmetric covering D(n, 1). Conversely, let D be a banded asymmetric covering D(n, 1). If we append a 0 or 1 to every vector of D in such a way that all co-weights become even, the result is a union of covering designs of the form (11).

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{C} has the structure shown in (11) and let \mathcal{D} be obtained by deleting one coordinate, which for concreteness we suppose is the last coordinate. We must show that \mathcal{D} is a banded asymmetric covering. Let $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ have weight w. If the coweight n-w is even, say 2i, then $v^*=v0$ must be covered by some vector $u^*=u\delta$, $\delta=0$ or 1, in the covering design $\mathcal{C}(n+1,n+1-2i,n-2i)$, and then $u\in \mathcal{D}$ covers v. On the other hand, if n-w is odd, say 2i-1, then $v^*=v1$ must be covered by some $u^*=u1\in \mathcal{C}(n+1,n+1-2i,n-2i)$, and again u covers v. The converse is established by similar arguments.

Since the covering number C(n, k, v) is, by definition, the size of the smallest C(n, k, v), we have the following.

Corollary 4.2. The size of the smallest banded asymmetric covering $\mathcal{D}(n,1)$ is given by

$$C(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} C(n+1, n+1-2i, n-2i).$$

Using the known values of C(n,k,t) and the new values to be established in the next section (see Table III) we can determine C(n) exactly for $n \le 11$. These values are given in Table I and show that for $n \le 7$, banded asymmetric coverings are as good as any asymmetric coverings.

A more detailed investigation provides further information:

³Or puncturing, cf. [14], p. 28.

Theorem 4.3. For lengths n = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7 an optimal asymmetric covering is necessarily banded, and the corresponding covering designs of length 1 higher are unique. At length 4 there are four nonisomorphic minimal asymmetric covers, as shown in Table III, two banded and two non-banded.

Proof. By direct enumeration. The details are omitted.

At length 7, the unique optimal (and banded) asymmetric covering can be found by deleting any coordinate from the following set of 31 vectors of length 8: 1^8 ; $\{0^21^2\}1^4(6); 1^4\{0^21^2\}(6);$ the 14 vectors of the Steiner system $S(3,4,8); 1^20^6, 0^21^20^4, 0^41^20^2, 0^61^2$.

Remark. The continuous LP problem for the banded case is easily solved, and has size exactly $2^{n+1}/(n+2)$, which is asymptotically

$$2^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \right), \tag{12}$$

just slightly worse than (10).

5. NEW VALUES FOR COVERING NUMBERS

Let N(n, k, k-1, M) denote the number of nonisomorphic minimal covering designs C(n, k, k-1) of size M, where of course $M \ge C(n, k, k-1)$. The main results of this section are shown in Tables IV and V.

Table IV gives the values of C(n, k, k - 1) for $n \le 12$. Starred entries are new, and we have also shown that

$$C(14, 10, 9) = 259.$$

TABL	E IV.	Covering	Numbe	rs $C(n, k,$	(k-1) f	$cor n \leq 1$	12			
n/k	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
2	1									
3	2	1								
4	2	3	1							
5	3	4	4	1						
6	3	6	6	5	1					
7	4	7	12	9	6	1				
8	4	11	14	20	12	7	1			
9	5	12	25	30	30	16	8	1		
10	5	17	30	51*	50	45	20	9	1	
11	6	19	47	66	α	84*	63	25	10	1
12	6	24	57	113	132	β	126*	84	30	11
13	7	26	78	γ	245	δ	ε	185*	112	36

Starred entries are new; see text for missing entries.

TABLE V. Values of N(n,k,k-1,M), the Number of Nonisomorphic Minimal Covering Designs C(n,k,k-1) of Size M

```
4, 2, 1:
                2(1), 3(1)
5, 3, 2:
                4(1),5(1),6(1)
6, 4, 3:
                6(1), 7(1), 8(1), 10(1)
7, 5, 4:
                9(1), 11(2), 12(2), 15(1)
8, 6, 5:
                12(1), 13(1), 15(2), 16(3), 17(2), 21(1)
9,7,6:
                16(1), 18(1), 19(2), 20(3), 21(2), 22(3), 23(3), 28(1)
10, 8, 7:
                20(1), 21(1), 24(4), 25(2), 26(7), 27(5), 28(4), 29(2),
                   30(4), 36(1)
11, 9, 8:
                25(1), 27(1), 29(2) (based on 10, 8, 7, 21)
                30(1), 31(1), 34(1), 35(4) (based on 11, 9, 8, 29)
12, 10, 9:
13, 11, 10:
                36(1), 38(1), 40(0) (based on 12, 10, 9, 31)
5, 2, 1:
                3(1),4(1)
6, 3, 2:
                6(1), 7(5), 8(2), 10(1)
7, 4, 3:
                12(4), 13(57), 14(139), 15(24), 16(6), 17(1), 20(1)
8, 5, 4:
                20(6), 21(263), 22(7340) (based on 7, 4, 3, 13)
9,6,5:
                30(2), 31(16), 32(863) (based on 8, 5, 4, 21)
10, 7, 6:
                45(20), 46(609) (based on 9, 6, 5, 32)
11, 8, 7:
                63(40), 64(1193)(based on 10, 7, 6, 46)
                84(4), 85(46), 86(1423)(based on 11, 8, 7, 64)
12, 9, 8:
6, 2, 1:
                3(1), 4(2), 5(1)
                7(1), 9(14), 10(40), 11(60), 12(7), 13(1), 15(1)
7, 3, 2;
8, 4, 3:
                14(1), 17(13)
9,5,4:
                30(3), 31(18), 32(459)(based on 8, 4, 3, 17)
10, 6, 5:
                50(1), 52(4), 53(56), 54(880)(based on 9, 5, 4, 32)
11, 7, 6:
                84(3), 85(0) (based on 10, 6, 5, 54)
12, 8, 7:
                126(3), 127(2), 128(0)(based on 11, 7, 6, 84)
13, 9, 8:
                185(1), 186(0)(based on 12, 8, 7, 127)
                259(1)(based on 13, 9, 8, 185)
14, 10, 9:
7, 2, 1:
                4(1),5(2),6(1)
8, 3, 2:
                11(5), 12(145)(based on 7, 2, 1, 4)
9, 4, 3:
                25(77), 26(5562), 27(538969) (based on 8, 3, 2, 12)
10, 5, 4:
                51(40), 52(3354)(based on 9, 4, 3, 26)
8, 2, 1:
                4(1),5(2),6(3),7(1)
9, 3, 2:
                12(1), 13(1), 14(64)(based on 8, 2, 1, 4)
10, 4, 3:
                30(1), 33(43)(based on 9, 3, 2, 13)
11, 5, 4:
                66(1), 70(78)(based on 10, 4, 3, 30)
12, 6, 5:
                132(1), 137(87)(based on 11, 5, 4, 66)
9, 2, 1:
                5(1), 6(3), 7(3), 8(1)
10, 3, 2:
                17(58)(based on 9, 2, 1, 5)
11.4.3:
                47(>95970) (based on 10, 3, 2, 17)
```

See text for further details.

In every case, the coverings achieving these bounds were already known, see [11] for references. Our contribution has been to show that no smaller covering exist. The five remaining gaps in Table IV are at C(11,6,5) (where $96 \le \alpha \le 100$), C(12,7,6) ($165 \le \beta \le 176$), C(13,5,4) ($149 \le \gamma \le 157$), C(13,7,6) ($257 \le \delta \le 264$), C(13,8,7) ($269 \le \varepsilon \le 297$), the lower bounds being new, except for γ .

Table V gives values of N(n, k, k-1, M) together with a brief indication of how they were found. An entry such as

indicates that there are 40 nonisomorphic minimal coverings $\mathcal{C}(11,8,7)$ of size 63, 1,193 of size 64, and that the latter enumeration was based on examining all possible ways to extend minimal covering designs $\mathcal{C}(10,7,6)$ of size \leq 46. (For any $\mathcal{C}(11,8,7)$ of size 63 must puncture to a covering $\mathcal{C}(10,7,6)$ which contains a minimal $\mathcal{C}(10,7,6)$ of size \leq 46.) The symbol \blacksquare at the end of a line in the table indicates that the enumeration of minimal covering designs $\mathcal{C}(n,k,k-1)$ for these values of n and k is complete.

It is worth drawing attention to the gaps that occur just above the parameters corresponding to the Steiner coverings $\mathcal{C}(8,4,3),\mathcal{C}(10,4,3),\mathcal{C}(11,5,4),\mathcal{C}(12,6,5)$. For example, a $\mathcal{C}(12,6,5)$ that does not contain the 132-block Witt design must contain at least 137 elements.

We know of no earlier table of this type, although isolated values have been published. For example, de Caen et al. [5] showed that N(9,5,4,30)=3 and N(10,6,5,50)=1. The Steiner triple systems S(2,3,n) have been enumerated for $n \le 19$ [13]: this gives the number of optimal C(n,3,2)'s for $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod 6$). Also Steiner quadruple systems S(3,4,n) have been enumerated for $n \le 15$ (see the survey article [12]); this gives the number of optimal C(n,4,3) for $n \equiv 2$ or $4 \pmod 6$).

To test isomorphism we generally used the isomorphism subroutines in the Magma computer algebra system [1, 2, 3].

To compute the entries N(n, k, k-1, M) in Table V and to establish the new lower bounds implicit in Table IV, we made use of two different branch-and-bound procedures.

The first procedure branched by selecting one of the uncovered (k-1)-subsets which had the fewest remaining k-subsets which could cover it. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_l\}$ denote the k-subsets which could cover it. The procedure recursively considered the l alternatives $\{\{x_i \text{ in the covering, } x_j \text{ not in the covering, for } 1 \leq j \leq i-1\}, 1 \leq i \leq l\}$. This branching continued until either every (k-1)-subset was covered, or until the lower bound

$$\left[\sum_{v' \in T} \frac{1}{\max_{v:v' \subset v} (|\{v'' \in T : v'' \subset v\}|)}\right]$$

$$\tag{13}$$

on the number of available k-subsets needed to cover the set T of uncovered (k-1)-subsets showed that no covering of size M could be obtained from the current branch.

The second procedure used solutions of the continuous LP problem (see Section 3) to guide it. It branched on the k-subset x whose corresponding variable was closest to

1/2, considering the alternatives "x in the covering" and "x not in the covering." This branching continued until one of the following obtained:

- (a) every k-subset had been placed in or excluded from the covering,
- (b) some (k-1)-subset could no longer be covered, or
- (c) applying the following lemma, where U is the set of available k-subsets and T is the set of uncovered (k-1)-subsets, showed that no covering of size M could be obtained from the current branch. The optimal choice of w in this bound is given by the solution to the dual of the linear programming relaxation; the program used a discrete, exact approximation to these dual variables for its bound.

Lemma 5.1. Let T and U be finite sets equipped with a relation $x \subset y$ for $x \in T$, $y \in U$, and let

$$w: T \to \mathbb{R}$$

be a function satisfying

$$\sum_{x \in T: x \subset y} w(x) \le 1 \text{ for all } y \in U.$$
 (14)

Then any $C \subseteq U$ covering T satisfies the lower bound

$$|\mathcal{C}| \ge \left[\sum_{x \in T} w(x)\right].$$

Proof. Let $C \subseteq U$ cover T. Then

$$|\mathcal{C}| = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{C}} 1$$

$$\geq \sum_{y \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{x \in T: x \subset y} w(x)$$

$$\geq \sum_{x \in T} w(x)$$

where the first inequality is from (14), and the second is because C covers T. Since |C| is an integer, the result follows.

Remark. The bound (13) is the special case of the Lemma in which U is the set of all available k-subsets, T is the set of uncovered (k-1)-subsets, and

$$w(v) = \frac{1}{\max_{v:v' \subset v} (|\{v'' \in T : v'' \subset v\}|)}.$$

Because the second program uses a stronger bound, it searched smaller branchand-bound trees, but since it solved the LP relaxation at each node, it took more time per node. As a result, the first program was more efficient for "easy" problems, and the second program for "difficult" problems (roughly, those in Table IV in the region bounded by $n \ge 9$ and $4 \le k \le n - 4$).

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, Handbook of Magma Functions, Sydney, May 22, 1995.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and G. Mathews, Programming with algebraic structures: Design of the Magma language, Proceedings of the 1994 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, M. Giesbrecht (Editor), Association for Computing Machinery, 1994, pp. 52–57.
- [3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, J Symb Comp 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer, J. B. Shearer, N. J. A. Sloane, and W. D. Smith, A new table of constant weight codes, IEEE Trans Inform Theory, 36 (1990), 1334–1380.
- [5] D. de Caen, D. L. Kreher, S. P. Radziszowski, and W. H. Mills, On the covering of t-sets with (t+1)-sets: C(9,5,4) and C(10,6,5), Discrete Math, 92 (1991), 65–77.
- [6] D. de Caen, D. L. Kreher, and J. Wiseman, On constructive upper bounds for the Turán numbers T(n, 2r+1, 2r), Nineteenth Southern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing (Baton Rouge, 1988), Congress Numer 65 (1988), 277–280.
- [7] J. N. Cooper, R. B. Ellis, and A. B. Kahng, Asymmetric binary covering codes, J Combin Theory, Series A, to appear.
- [8] CPLEX Manual, CPLEX Organization, Inc., Incline Village, Nevada, 1991.
- [9] R. Fourer, D. M. Gay, and B. W. Kernighan, AMPL: A Modeling Language for Mathematical Programming, Scientific Press, San Francisco, 1993.
- [10] G. R. Giraud, Remarques sur deux problèmes extrémaux, Discrete Math 84 (1990), 319–321.
- [11] D. Gordon, La Jolla Covering Repository, published electronically at http://www.ccrwest.org/cover.html.
- [12] A. Hartman and K. T. Phelps, Steiner quadruple systems, Contemporary Design Theory, J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson (Editors), Wiley, New York, 1992, pp. 205–240.
- [13] P. Kaski and P. R. J. Östergård, The Steiner triple systems of order 19, preprint.
- [14] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [15] W. H. Mills and R. C. Mullin, Coverings and packings, Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys, J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson (Editors), Wiley, New York, 1992, pp. 371–399.
- [16] K. J. Nurmela and P. R. J. Östergård, New coverings of t-sets with (t+1)-sets, J Combin Des 7 (1999), 217–226.
- [17] K. J. Nurmela and P. R. J. Östergård, New coverings of t-sets with (t + 1)-sets: Appendix, published electronically at http://www.tcs.hut.fi/Publications/papers/table3.html.
- [18] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences.
- [19] D. R. Stinson, Coverings, The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (Editors), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1996), pp. 260–265.