

Upper Bounds for Modular Forms, Lattices, and Codes

C. L. MALLOWS

Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

A. M. ODLYZKO*

*Mathematics Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

AND

N. J. A. SLOANE

Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

Communicated by Walter Feit

Received March 12, 1974

Let $W(z) = 1 + A_d e^{2\pi i dz} + A_{d+1} e^{2\pi i(d+1)z} + \dots$ be a modular form of weight n for the full modular group. Then for every constant b there exists an $n_0 = n_0(b)$ such that if $d \geq n/6 - b$ and $n \geq n_0$, then one of A_d, A_{d+1}, \dots , has a negative real part. This implies that there is no even unimodular lattice in E^n , for $n \geq n_0$, having minimum nonzero squared length $\geq n/12 - b$. A similar argument shows that there is no binary self-dual code of length $n \geq n_0$ having all weights divisible by 4 and minimum nonzero weight $\geq n/6 - b$. A corresponding result holds for ternary codes.

1. MODULAR FORMS

Let $E_2(z) = 1 + 240 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(r) q^r$, $E_3(z) = 1 - 504 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sigma_5(r) q^r$, $\Delta(z) = q \prod_{1}^{\infty} (1 - q^r)^{24}$, where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$, $\sigma_\lambda(r) = \sum_{d|r} d^\lambda$. Then E_2 , E_3 , Δ are modular forms (for the full modular group) of weights 2, 3, 6, respectively. Furthermore any modular form of weight n can be written as

$$W(z) = \sum_{2s+3t=n} c_s E_2^s E_3^t, \quad (1)$$

* Research supported by the Hertz Foundation.

where there are $\mu + 1$ complex constants c_s in the sum, and $\mu = [n/6] - 1$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $\mu = [n/6]$ if $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ [8, 13].

THEOREM 1. *Let b be any constant. Then there exists a constant $n_0(b)$ such that if*

$$W(q) = 1 + A_d q^d + A_{d+1} q^{d+1} + \dots$$

is a modular form of weight $n \geq n_0(b)$ with $d \geq \mu + 1 - b$, then one of the coefficients A_d, A_{d+1}, \dots has a negative real part.

Remark. An explicit bound for $n_0(b)$ could also be obtained by our methods.

2. LATTICES

Let A be an even unimodular lattice in Euclidean space E^n , where n is a multiple of 8. Let A_r be the number of lattice points of squared length $2r$, let d be the smallest nonzero squared length of any lattice point, and let

$$W(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r q^r = 1 + A_{d/2} q^{d/2} + A_{d/2+1} q^{d/2+1} + \dots$$

Then $W(z)$ is a modular form of weight $n/4$ [8, 13]. Lattices with $d = 2[n/24] + 2$ are known for small n [9, 10], and have connections with simple groups [4, 5]. However, Theorem 1 implies:

COROLLARY. *If b is any constant, an even unimodular lattice with $d \geq 2[n/24] + 2 - 2b$ does not exist for $n \geq n_0(b)$.*

[The proof shows that for $d = 2[n/24] + 2$, the coefficient $A_{d/2+1}$ first goes negative when n is about 41,000. But other coefficients may go negative before this.]

3. CODES

Let $n = 8j = 24\mu + 8\nu$, $\nu = 0, 1$ or 2 . Let \mathcal{C} be a binary self-dual code of length n (i.e., a self-dual subspace of $GF(2)^n$) with the property that the weight of every codeword is a multiple of 4. Let A_r be the number of codewords of weight $4r$, and let $W(q) = \sum_{r=0}^{n/4} A_r q^r$. Then $W(q)$ can be written as

$$W(q) = \sum_{s=0}^{\mu} c_s f^{j-3s} g^s \tag{2}$$

for suitable real constants c_s , where $f = 1 + 14q + q^2$, $g = q(1 - q)^4$ [6, 2, 11]. Codes with minimum nonzero weight $4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 4$ are known for small n [12]. Besides having high error-correcting capability, such codes are of combinatorial interest because they contain 5-designs [1]. However, we show:

THEOREM 2. *Let b be any constant. Suppose the c_s in (2) are chosen so that $W(q) = 1 + A_{d/4}q^{d/4} + A_{d/4+1}q^{d/4+1} + \dots$, where $d \geq 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 4 - 4b$. Then one of the coefficients $A_{d/4}, A_{d/4+1}, \dots$ is negative, for all sufficiently large n .*

COROLLARY. *If b is any constant, then a binary self-dual code of length n with all weights divisible by 4 and with minimum nonzero weight $d \geq 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 4 - 4b$ does not exist, for all sufficiently large n .*

This result was proved for $b < 0$ in [12], and for $b = 0$ by Goethals, MacWilliams, and Mallows [7] using a different method.

When $b = 0$, $d = 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 4$, the proof shows that $A_{d/4+1}$ first goes negative when n is about 3720. We have confirmed this by computer: for $n = 3720$, $b = 0$, $d = 624$, we find $W(q) = 1 + A_{156}q^{156} + A_{157}q^{157} + \dots + A_{774}q^{774} + q^{930}$, with $A_{156} = 1.16\dots \cdot 10^{170}$, $A_{157} = -5.84\dots \cdot 10^{170}$, $A_i > 0$ for $158 \leq i \leq 465$, and $A_{930-i} = A_i$ for all i .

A similar argument establishes the corresponding result for self-dual codes over $GF(3)$:

THEOREM 3. *If b is any constant, then a ternary self-dual code of length n with minimum nonzero weight $\geq 3\lfloor n/12 \rfloor + 3 - 3b$ does not exist, for all sufficiently large n .*

This result was proved for $b \leq 0$ in [12]. For $b = 0$, the first negative coefficient in $W(q)$ occurs when $n = 72$, as found by J. N. Pierce (see [6]).

4. TWO LEMMAS

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $G(q), H(q)$ are analytic inside the circle $|q| = 1$ and satisfy: (i) $H(q) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} H_s q^s$ with $H_0 > 0$, $H_1 > 0$, and $H_s \geq 0$ for $s \geq 2$; (ii) if $F(y) = e^{2\pi y} H(e^{-2\pi y})$, then $F'(y) = 0$ has a solution $y = y_0$ in the range $y > 0$, with $F(y_0) = c_1 > 0$, $F''(y_0)/F(y_0) = c_2 > 0$, $G(e^{-2\pi y_0}) \neq 0$. Then β_r , the coefficient of q_r in $G(q)H(q)^r$, satisfies*

$$\beta_r \sim \frac{2\pi}{(rc_2)^{1/2}} G(e^{-2\pi y_0}) c_1^r, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. From Cauchy's formula

$$\beta_r = \int e^{-2\pi izr} G(e^{2\pi iz}) H(e^{2\pi iz})^r dz$$

where the integral is along the path $z = x + iy$, $-\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, y fixed > 0 . We estimate this integral by the saddle-point method. From (i), $|e^{-2\pi iz} H(e^{2\pi iz})| \leq e^{2\pi y} H(e^{-2\pi y})$, with equality for $-\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ only at $x = 0$. Thus the saddle point is at $\xi = 0 + iy_0$, and we choose $y = y_0$ in the integral. Let $f(z) = e^{-2\pi iz} H(e^{2\pi iz})$. Since H is analytic,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln f(z) \Big|_{z=\xi} &= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \ln f(z) \Big|_{z=\xi} = \frac{F'(y_0)}{iF(y_0)} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln f(z) \Big|_{z=\xi} &= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \ln f(z) \Big|_{z=\xi} = -\frac{F''(y_0)}{F(y_0)} = -c_2. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows by standard techniques [3, Section 4.4]. (Note that in that reference a_3, a_4, \dots do not have to be real for the result to hold.)

LEMMA 2. Let $\beta_{s,t}$ ($0 \leq t \leq s \leq n$) be numbers such that $1/c \leq |\beta_{st}| \leq c$ for some constant $c > 0$. Then there exists a constant $d = d(c, n) > 0$, such that

$$\sum_{s=0}^n \left| \sum_{t=0}^s \beta_{st} X_t \right| \geq d \sum_{t=0}^n |X_t|.$$

Proof. By induction on n . The result is true for $n = 0$. Now suppose $n > 0$ and the result is true for $n - 1$. Let Q denote the expression on the left. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $d_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\geq d_1 \sum_0^{n-1} |X_t| + |\beta_{n0} X_0 + \dots + \beta_{nn} X_n| \\ &= d_1 \left(\sum_0^{n-1} |X_t| + |B_0 X_0 + \dots + B_n X_n| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_t = \beta_{nt}/d_1$. Note that $1/cd_1 \leq |B_t| \leq c/d_1$.

Case (i). Suppose $|X_n| \geq 2c^2 \sum_0^{n-1} |X_t|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_0^{n-1} B_t X_t \right| &\leq \frac{c}{d_1} \sum_0^{n-1} |X_t| \leq \frac{1}{2cd_1} |X_n| \leq \frac{1}{2} |B_n X_n|, \\ \left| \sum_0^n B_t X_t \right| &\geq |B_n X_n| - \left| \sum_0^{n-1} B_t X_t \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} |B_n X_n| \geq \frac{1}{2cd_1} |X_n|, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$Q \geq d_1 \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2cd_1} \right\} \sum_0^n |X_t|.$$

Case (ii). On the other hand, if $|X_n| < 2c^2 \sum_0^{n-1} |X_t|$, then

$$\sum_0^n |X_t| \leq (1 + 2c^2) \sum_0^{n-1} |X_t|$$

and so

$$Q \geq d_1 \sum_0^{n-1} |X_t| \geq \frac{d_1}{1 + 2c^2} \sum_0^n |X_t|.$$

In either case, $Q \geq d \sum_0^n |X_t|$, where $d = \min\{d_1, 1/2c, d_1/(1 + 2c^2)\}$.

5. THE PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1, 2 AND 3

Proof of Theorem 1

Part (I), n even. Let $n = 2j = 6\mu + 2\nu$, $\nu = 0, 1$ or 2 . Since $\Delta = (1/1728)(E_2^3 - E_3^2)$, we can express $W(z)$ in terms of E_2 and Δ . We first treat the case $b \leq 0$, $d \geq \mu + 1$. Suppose

$$W(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\mu} a_s E_2^{j-3s} \Delta^s, \quad (3)$$

where the a_s are chosen so that

$$W(z) = 1 + \sum_{r=\mu+1}^{\infty} A_r q^r. \quad (4)$$

Since both E_2 and Δ have real coefficients in their q -expansions, both the a_s and the A_r are real. We will show $A_{\mu+1} > 0$ for all n , and $A_{\mu+2} = -A_{\mu+1}(24\mu + O(1)) < 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\varphi = \varphi(q) = \Delta/E_2^3$. We expand E_2^{-j} in powers of φ using Bürmann's theorem [14, p. 128]:

$$E_2^{-j} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \alpha_s \varphi^s, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s &= \frac{1}{s!} \frac{d^{s-1}}{dq^{s-1}} \left\{ \frac{dE_2^{-j}}{dq} \left(\frac{q}{\varphi} \right)^s \right\}_{q=0} \\ &= \frac{-j}{s!} \frac{d^{s-1}}{dq^{s-1}} \{E_2^{-j} E_2^{3s-j-1} h^s\}_{q=0} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $h(q) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^r)^{-24}$. In particular,

$$\alpha_{\mu+1} = \frac{-j}{(\mu + 1)!} \frac{d^{\mu}}{dq^{\mu}} \{E_2' E_2^{2-\nu} h^{\mu+1}\}_{q=0}, \tag{7}$$

$$\alpha_{\mu+2} = \frac{-j}{(\mu + 2)!} \frac{d^{\mu+1}}{dq^{\mu+1}} \{E_2' E_2^{5-\nu} h^{\mu+2}\}_{q=0}. \tag{8}$$

From (3), (4), (5) we see that $a_s = \alpha_s$ ($0 \leq s \leq \mu$) and that the α_s ($s > \mu$) and A_r are related by

$$\sum_{r=\mu+1}^{\infty} A_r q^r = - \sum_{s=\mu+1}^{\infty} \alpha_s E_2^{j-3s} \Delta^s.$$

Equating coefficients of $q^{\mu+1}$, $q^{\mu+2}$ we find

$$A_{\mu+1} = -\alpha_{\mu+1}, \tag{9}$$

$$A_{\mu+2} = -\alpha_{\mu+2} + \alpha_{\mu+1}(24\mu - 240\nu + 744). \tag{10}$$

That $\alpha_{\mu+1} < 0$ and $A_{\mu+1} > 0$ follows immediately from (7) and (9) since E_2 and h have positive coefficients. We now show that $|\alpha_{\mu+2}/\alpha_{\mu+1}|$ is bounded, which implies using (10) that $A_{\mu+2} = -A_{\mu+1}(24\mu + O(1)) < 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We apply Lemma 1 with $G(q) = G_1(q) = E_2'(q) E_2^{2-\nu}(q) h(q)$, $H(q) = h(q)$. Now

$$\frac{F'(y)}{F(y)} = 2\pi - 48\pi \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{r}{e^{2\pi r y} - 1},$$

$$\frac{F''(y)}{F(y)} = \left(\frac{F'(y)}{F(y)}\right)^2 + 96\pi^2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^2 e^{2\pi r y}}{(e^{2\pi r y} - 1)^2}.$$

Thus for $y > 0$, $F(y) > 0$ and $F''(y) > 0$. Also $F'(y)/F(y)$ is a monotonic increasing function of y , which is negative for small y and positive for large y . Therefore $F'(y) = 0$ has a unique solution for $y > 0$ (at $y = y_0 = 0.52352\dots$). Thus hypothesis (ii) of the lemma is satisfied. Then, from (7),

$$\alpha_{\mu+1} \sim -2\pi j c_2^{-1/2} \mu^{-3/2} G_1(e^{-2\pi y_0}) c_1^{\mu}, \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $c_1 (= 69.1\dots)$, c_2 are constants. Similarly from (8), with $G_2(q) = E_2'(q) E_2^{5-\nu}(q) h(q)$, $H(q) = h(q)$,

$$\alpha_{\mu+2} \sim -2\pi j c_2^{-1/2} \mu^{-3/2} G_2(e^{-2\pi y_0}) c_1^{\mu+1}, \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $|\alpha_{\mu+2}/\alpha_{\mu+1}|$ is bounded. (In fact it approaches a limit of about 1.64×10^5 as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$.)

We now treat the case $b > 0$, $d = \mu + 1 - b$. Let $W_{\text{ext}}(z)$ denote the extremal $W(z)$ defined by (3), (4). We complete part (I) of the proof by showing that no matter how the coefficients x_0, \dots, x_{b-1} are chosen,

$$\begin{aligned} W(z) &= W_{\text{ext}}(z) + \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} x_{b-1-s} E_2^{\nu+3s} \Delta^{\mu-s} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{r=d}^{\infty} A_r' q^r \quad (\text{say}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

always contains a coefficient A_r' with negative real part when n is sufficiently large. Since E_2 and Δ have real coefficients, we may assume that the x_i are real (otherwise replace the x_i by their real parts). In fact, we show that the assumptions

$$A_d' \geq 0, A_{d+1}' \geq 0, \dots, A_{\mu+2}' \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

lead to a contradiction for large n . Upon expanding (11), the $b + 2$ inequalities (12) become, with $m = 24\mu$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\geq 0, \\ \sum_{t=0}^s x_t \left(\frac{(-m)^{s-t}}{(s-t)!} + O(m^{s-t-1}) \right) &\geq 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, b-1, \\ A_{\mu+1} + \sum_{t=0}^{b-1} x_t \left(\frac{(-m)^{b-t}}{(b-t)!} + O(m^{b-t-1}) \right) &\geq 0, \\ -mA_{\mu+1} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right) + \sum_{t=0}^{b-1} x_t \left(\frac{(-m)^{b+1-t}}{(b+1-t)!} + O(m^{b-t}) \right) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$X_t = \frac{x_t}{m^t} \quad (0 \leq t \leq b-1), \quad X_b = \frac{A_{\mu+1}}{m^b}, \quad X_{b+1} = 0,$$

and

$$\beta_{s,t} = \frac{(-1)^{s-t}}{(s-t)!} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq b+1.$$

The inequalities now reduce to

$$\sum_{t=0}^s \left(\beta_{s,t} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right) X_t \geq 0, \quad s = 0, \dots, b+1. \quad (13)$$

Let $\gamma_s = (b + 1)!/(b + 1 - s)!$, and observe that $\sum_{s=t}^{b+1} \beta_{s,t} \gamma_s = 0$ for $t = 0, \dots, b$. We obtain the contradiction by evaluating in two ways the sum

$$\sum_{t=0}^{b+1} X_t \sum_{s=t}^{b+1} \left(\beta_{s,t} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right) \gamma_s.$$

On the one hand it equals

$$\sum_{t=0}^{b+1} X_t \sum_{s=t}^{b+1} O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \gamma_s \leq \frac{c_3(b)}{m} \sum_{t=0}^{b+1} |X_t|,$$

while on the other hand it is equal to (from (13))

$$\sum_{s=0}^{b+1} \gamma_s \sum_{t=0}^s \left(\beta_{s,t} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right) X_t = \sum_{s=0}^{b+1} \gamma_s \left| \sum_{t=0}^s \left(\beta_{s,t} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right) X_t \right|,$$

and for m sufficiently large,

$$\left| \beta_{s,t} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right| \geq c_4(b) > 0.$$

It now follows from Lemma 2, with $\beta_{s,t}$ in the lemma replaced by $\beta_{s,t} + O(1/m)$, that the sum is

$$\geq c_5(b) \sum_{t=0}^{b+1} |X_t|.$$

This is a contradiction for large m , since X_b is nonzero.

Part (II), n odd. Let $n = 2j + 3 = 6\mu + 2\nu + 3$, $\nu = 0, 1$ or 2 . Instead of (3) we write

$$W(\mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{s=0}^{\mu} a_s E_2^{j-3s} E_3 \Delta^s,$$

and expand $E_2^{-j} E_3^{-1}$ in powers of φ . The proof is now parallel to Part (I) and we omit the details.

Proof of Theorem 2. This is also parallel to Part (I), with E_2 , Δ and $m = 24\mu$ replaced by f , g , and $m = 4\mu$. Again the details are omitted.

Proof of Theorem 3. This is again parallel to Part (I), with E_2 , Δ and $m = 24\mu$ replaced by $1 + 8q$, $q(1 - q)^3$, and $m = 3\mu$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Harold M. Stark for several helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. E. F. ASSMUS, JR., AND H. F. MATSON, JR., New 5-Designs, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, **6** (1969), 122-151.
2. E. R. BERLEKAMP, F. J. MACWILLIAMS, AND N. J. A. SLOANE, Gleason's Theorem on Self-Dual Codes, *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory* **IT-18**, (1972), 409-414.
3. N. G. DE BRUIJN, "Asymptotic Methods in Analysis," 3rd edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1970.
4. J. H. CONWAY, A Characterization of Leech's Lattice, *Inventiones math.* **7** (1969), 137-142.
5. J. H. CONWAY, Groups, lattices, and quadratic forms, in "Computers in Algebra and Number Theory," (G. Birkhoff and M. Hall, Jr., Eds.), pp. 135-139, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1971.
6. A. M. GLEASON, Weight polynomials of self-dual codes and the MacWilliams identities, in "Actes, Congr. Int. Math.," pp. 211-215, Vol. 3, 1970, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971.
7. J.-M. GOETHALS, F. J. MACWILLIAMS, AND C. L. MALLOWS, 1973, unpublished.
8. R. C. GUNNING, "Lectures on Modular Forms," Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1962.
9. J. LEECH, Notes on sphere packings, *Can. J. Math.* **19** (1967), 251-267.
10. J. LEECH AND N. J. A. SLOANE, Sphere packings and error-correcting codes, *Can. J. Math.* **23** (1971), 718-745.
11. F. J. MACWILLIAMS, C. L. MALLOWS, AND N. J. A. SLOANE, Generalizations of Gleason's theorem on weight enumerators of self-dual codes, *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, **IT-18** (1972), 794-805.
12. C. L. MALLOWS, AND N. J. A. SLOANE, An upper bound for self-dual codes, *Information and Control* **22** (1973), 188-200.
13. J.-P. SERRE, "Cours d'Arithmetique," Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1970. (English translation, Springer, New York, 1973.)
14. E. T. WHITTAKER AND G. N. WATSON, A Course of Modern Analysis, 4th edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1963.