

# A FINITE MATRIX WITH GROTHENDIECK RATIO GREATER THAN $\sqrt{2}$

J. A. REEDS AND N. J. A. SLOANE

One form of Grothendieck's inequality states there exists a smallest constant  $K$  with  $\pi/2 \leq K \leq \sinh(\pi/2)$ , such that for any any finite real matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$ , and any real Hilbert space  $H$  with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we must have

$$r(M) \leq K$$

where

$$r(M) = \frac{\sup_{x_i, y_j \in B(H)} \sum_{i,j} (x_i, y_j) m_{i,j}}{\sup_{\varepsilon_i, \delta_j \in \{-1,1\}} \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_i m_{i,j} \delta_j},$$

where  $B(H)$  denotes the unit ball in  $H$ .

Because  $\pi/2 > \sqrt{2}$  there exist matrices  $M$  for which  $r(M) > \sqrt{2}$ , but until April 1990 we were unaware of any particular examples. Our first example was of size  $120 \times 120$ . This discovery was announced but not explicitly stated a few years later in [1]; recently Sébastien Designolle asked for details. The point of this current note is to give our example, and sketch why  $r(M) > \sqrt{2}$ .

We use some of the terminology and facts about root vectors and Weyl chambers found in [2, Chapter III].

Take the system  $\mathcal{R}$  of root vectors of the lattice  $E_8$  to be the  $112 = 2^2 \binom{8}{2}$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^8$  of form

$$(\pm 1, \pm 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

and all coordinate permutations thereof, together with the 128 vectors of form  $(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2})$  with an even number of minus signs; 240 in all. The set

$$\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{R}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^8 : (v, x) \neq 0\}$$

is open; its connected components are known as the *Weyl chambers*. Interior points in the Weyl chambers are called *regular points*.

Of the 240 elements of  $\mathcal{R}$ , 120 are “positive” in the sense that the leftmost non-zero coordinate is positive; let them be denoted by  $v_1, \dots, v_{120}$ ; write  $\mathcal{R}_+ = \{v_1, \dots, v_{120}\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_+ \cup (-\mathcal{R}_+)$ .

Define  $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} v = \sum_{i=1}^{120} v_i$ . One checks that

$$\bar{\alpha} = (46, 12, 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, 0)$$

so  $\|\bar{\alpha}\|^2 = 2480$ , and that  $(v_i, \bar{\alpha}) > 0$  for all  $v_i \in \mathcal{R}_+$ , and hence that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is regular.

Our matrix entries are the inner products

$$m_{i,j} = (v_i, v_j);$$

one can write  $M = VV'$ , where  $V$  is the  $120 \times 8$  matrix whose  $i$ -th row is  $v_i$ . One can check that  $M$  is 30 times the matrix of the orthogonal projection onto the column space of  $V$ . A histogram of the matrix values is

value	count
-1	2240
0	7560
1	4480
2	120
total	14400.

Since the vectors  $v_i$  all have length  $\sqrt{2}$  we note that  $v_i/\sqrt{2} \in B(\mathbb{R}^8)$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x_i, y_j \in B(\mathbb{R}^8)} \sum_{i,j} (x_i, y_j) m_{i,j} &\geq \sum_{i,j} (v_i, v_j) m_{i,j} / 2 \\
&= \sum_{i,j} m_{i,j}^2 / 2 \\
&= 3600.
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon_i, \delta_j \in \{-1,1\}} \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_i m_{i,j} \delta_j &= \sup_{\varepsilon_i, \delta_j \in \{-1,1\}} \left( \sum_i \varepsilon_i v_i, \sum_j \delta_j v_j \right) \\
&= \sup_{\varepsilon_i} \left\| \sum_i \varepsilon_i v_i \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_i v_i \right\|^2 \\
&= \|\bar{\alpha}\|^2 = 2480.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$r(M) \geq \frac{3600}{2480} \approx 1.45 > \sqrt{2}.$$

The key step here lies in showing (1), namely, that for any choice of signs we have

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_i \pm v_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_i v_i \right\|,$$

which is not true for arbitrary collections of vectors, but is for the root vectors  $v_i$  in  $\mathcal{R}_+$ .

One way to see (2) is by considering the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}} \text{sign}(\alpha, v) v \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{120} \text{sign}(\alpha, v_i) v_i \end{aligned}$$

for regular  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^8$ ; these are constant on Weyl chambers. We first show that the left hand side of (2) is bounded by  $\|S(\alpha)\|/2$  for *some* regular  $\alpha$ , and then that in fact the function  $\alpha \mapsto \|S(\alpha)\|$  is constant, so  $\|S(\alpha)\| = \|S(\bar{\alpha})\|$  for all regular  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\sigma : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}\}$  be the set of all possible assignments of signs to the elements of  $\mathcal{R}_+$ .

**Lemma 1** ([1, Lemma 2]). *There exists a regular  $\alpha$  such that*

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \left\| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} \sigma(v) v \right\| = \frac{1}{2} \|S(\alpha)\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  maximize  $\|\sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} \sigma(v) v\|$ , let  $\alpha = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} \sigma(v) v$ . For each individual  $w \in \mathcal{R}_+$  consider the effect of flipping just the sign of  $w$ , that is, replacing  $\sigma(w)$  with  $-\sigma(w)$ , but leaving the other  $\sigma(v)$  values unchanged. Then  $\|\alpha\|^2 \geq \|\alpha - 2\sigma(w)w\|^2 = \|\alpha\|^2 - 4\sigma(w)(\alpha, w) +$

$4\|w\|^2$ , so

$$\sigma(w)(\alpha, w) \geq \|w\|^2 > 0,$$

so  $\sigma(w) = \text{sign}(\alpha, w)$ . Since this is true for all  $w \in \mathcal{R}_+$ , we see that  $\alpha$  is regular, and that  $\alpha = S(\alpha)/2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^8$  both be regular. Then*

$$\|S(\alpha)\| = \|S(\beta)\|.$$

*Proof.* For  $\tau \in \mathcal{R}$  let

$$r_\tau : x \mapsto x - 2 \frac{(\tau, x)}{\|\tau\|^2} \tau$$

be the reflection through the hyperplane perpendicular to  $\tau$ . Since  $\|\tau\|^2 = 2$  for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{R}$ , the simpler formula  $r_\tau(x) = x - (\tau, x)\tau$  also holds. The map  $r_\tau : \mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$  is a linear isometry: it preserves the norm and the inner product:  $\|a\| = \|r_\tau(a)\|$  and  $(a, b) = (r_\tau(a), r_\tau(b))$ , for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^8$ . And  $r_\tau$  permutes the elements of  $\mathcal{R}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(\alpha)\| &= \|r_\tau(S(\alpha))\| && \text{(by isometry)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}} \text{sign}(\alpha, v) r_\tau(v) \right\| && \text{(by linearity)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}} \text{sign}(r_\tau(\alpha), r_\tau(v)) r_\tau(v) \right\| && \text{(by isometry)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \text{sign}(r_\tau(\alpha), w) w \right\| && \text{(by permutation)} \\ &= \|S(r_\tau(\alpha))\| && \text{(by definition of } S\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

The group generated by  $\{r_v : v \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is transitive on the set of Weyl chambers (see [2, [p.51]]), so for given regular  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^8$  there exists a finite sequence of reflections  $r_v$  whose composition maps the chamber of  $\alpha$  to the chamber of  $\beta$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P.C. Fishburn, J.A. Reeds, “Bell Inequalities, Grothendieck’s Constant and Root Two”, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* 7(1994)48–56.
- [2] James E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. New York: Springer, 1972.