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Abstract: Pisot sequences (sequences $a_n$ with initial terms $a_0 = x, a_1 = y$, and defined for $n > 1$ by $a_n = \lfloor a_{n-1}^2/a_{n-2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$) often satisfy linear recurrences with constant coefficients that are valid for all $n \geq 0$, but there are also cautionary examples where there is a linear recurrence that is valid for an initial range of values of $n$ but fails to be satisfied beyond that point, providing further illustrations of Richard Guy’s celebrated “Strong Law of Small Numbers”. In this paper we present a decision algorithm, fully implemented in an accompanying Maple program (Pisot.txt), that first searches for a putative linear recurrence and then decides whether or not it holds for all values of $n$. We also explain why the failures happen (in some cases the ‘fake’ linear recurrence may be valid for thousands of terms). We conclude by defining, and studying, higher-order analogs of Pisot sequences, and point out that similar phenomena occur there, albeit far less frequently.

0. Maple Package and Sample Output

This article is accompanied by a Maple package, Pisot.txt, that is available, along with six input and output files, from

http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/pisot.html

1. Preface

Richard Guy famously formulated the Strong Law of Small Numbers, and in two classic articles [G1, G2] gave many examples of pairs of sequences that are equal for a certain number of initial terms, but eventually differ. Before him, around 1820 Charles Babbage [Ba] had already discussed numerous examples, and recalled how Fermat was misled by the numbers $2^{2^n} + 1$, and Euler [E] was almost led to believe that the central trinomial coefficients are the product of consecutive Fibonacci numbers.

But in all these examples, the sequences only agree for a moderate number of terms. As shown by David G. Cantor [C1, C2] and David Boyd [B1–B5], the so-called Pisot sequences provide much more dramatic examples of Richard Guy’s Strong Law of Small Numbers. Here we find pairs of distinct sequences which agree for tens of thousands of terms. (Even more extreme examples arise from game theory—see for example entry A078608 in [OEIS], where there are two sequences which agree for all $n$ from 1 to 777451915729367 but differ at 777451915729368.)

2. Pisot Sequences

We first recall the definition (cf. [Pi], [Ca], [B5]).

Definition: The Pisot sequence with index $r$, $E_r(x,y)$ ($0 \leq r \leq 1$), where $0 < x < y$ are integers,
is defined by the following nonlinear recurrence:

\[ a_0 = x \quad , \quad a_1 = y \quad , \]

and, for \( n > 1 \),

\[ a_n := \left\lfloor \frac{a_{n-1}^2 + r}{a_{n-2}} \right\rfloor \quad , \]

where, as usual, \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) denotes the largest integer that is \( \leq x \).

The most important special cases are:

- \( r = 0 \), when \( E_0(x, y) \) is abbreviated \( T(x, y) \),
- \( r = \frac{1}{2} \), when \( E_{\frac{1}{2}}(x, y) \) is written \( E(x, y) \) , and
- \( r = 1 \), when \( E_1(x, y) \) is abbreviated \( S(x, y) \).

In the present article we will not consider the limiting cases \( r = 0 \) or \( r = 1 \) (that is, \( T(x, y) \) and \( S(x, y) \)), although analogous arguments, somewhat more subtle, can be applied to them also.

For many choices of initial conditions \( x, y \), Pisot sequences do satisfy linear recurrences that hold for all \( n \) (and in this article we present an algorithm–fully implemented in Maple–that rigorously proves it if this is indeed the case), but there are also many examples where there exists a recurrence that is valid for a long time, only to eventually break down.

For example, Max Alekseyev [Al] showed that \( E(5, 17) \) (sequence \( \text{A010914} \)) satisfies the linear recurrence

\[ a_n = 4a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-2} \quad , \]

for all \( n \geq 2 \). On the other hand, David Boyd [B5] found that \( E(10, 219) \) (see sequence \( \text{A007699} \)) satisfies the linear recurrence

\[ a_n = 22a_{n-1} - 3a_{n-2} + 18a_{n-3} - 11a_{n-4} \quad , \]

for \( 4 \leq n \leq 1402 \), but that this breaks down at \( n = 1403 \).

Also, one of us (SBE) found (see the bottom of the output file http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/opisot2a.txt) that the Pisot sequence \( E(30, 989) \) (\( \text{A276396} \)) satisfies the recurrence

\[ a_n = 33a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-2} + 30a_{n-3} - 11a_{n-4} \quad , \]

for \( 4 \leq n \leq 15888 \), but that this breaks down at \( n = 15889 \).

The main tool for explaining why these Pisot sequences sometimes have such doppelgängers (sequences generated by linear recurrences which agree with them for many terms but eventually differ) is the following result:
**Theorem** (Flor [Fl], Boyd B5) If \( E_r(x, y) \ (0 \leq r \leq 1) \) satisfies a linear recurrence then the defining polynomial \( M(t) \) of the linear recurrence is either \((t - 1)^2\) or else has a single root \( r_1 > 1 \) outside the unit circle with the remaining roots on or inside the unit circle, the roots on the unit circle being simple roots.

As we will see from the analysis and examples below, if there is a second root \( r_2 \) that is *just* outside the unit circle, the doppelgänger defined by the recurrence can agree with the Pisot sequence for a large number of terms.

How likely is it that a second root \( r_2 \) exists outside the unit circle? If the coefficients of the quotient \( M(t)/(t - r_1) \) were random (which of course they are not), then studies of the locations of roots of random polynomials suggest that the roots tend to be concentrated in a narrow annulus containing the unit circle (see for example [IZ] and the earlier references cited there). If this were true here then we should expect doppelgängers to be fairly common. Both Cantor [Ca] and Boyd [B1-B5] have carried out systematic studies of various classes of Pisot sequences. It would be nice to have more statistics about the minimal polynomials \( M(t) \) that arise.

### 3. How to Prove that a Proposed Linear Recurrence for a Pisot Sequence Holds for All Values

For the sake of pedagogy, before discussing the general case, in this section we will study a specific example.

The sequence \( E(4, 7), \textbf{A010901} \), let’s call it \( \{a_n\} \), starts with

\[ 4, 7, 12, 21, 37, 65, 114, 200, 351, 616, 1081, 1897, 3329, 5842, 10252, 17991, 31572, 55405, 97229, \ldots \]

The OEIS entry formerly contained the conjecture that this satisfies the linear recurrence

\[ a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} + a_{n-3} \ , \]

with initial conditions

\[ a_0 = 4 \ , \ a_1 = 7 \ , \ a_2 = 12 \ , \]

together with the remark that this is satisfied for \( n \leq 50000 \). To prove that this holds for all \( n \) we proceed as follows (the same method was used by Max Alekseyev [Al] to establish the recurrence for \( E(5, 17) \) mentioned above). Recall that by the definition of Pisot sequences

\[ a_n := \left\lfloor \frac{a_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \ . \]

Let’s define the sequence \( b_n \) to be the (obviously unique) sequence satisfying the recurrence

\[ b_n = 2b_{n-1} - b_{n-2} + b_{n-3} \ , \]
subject to the initial conditions
\[ b_0 = 4, \quad b_1 = 7, \quad b_2 = 12. \]

We have to prove that \( a_n = b_n \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). Using the symmetry of the "=" relation, we will prove the equivalent statement that \( b_n = a_n \). In other words we must show that
\[ b_n = \left\lfloor \frac{b_{n-1}^2}{b_{n-2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor. \]

But, recalling that \( N = \lfloor x \rfloor \) is just shorthand for \( N \leq x < N + 1 \),
our task is to prove that
\[ b_n \leq \frac{b_{n-1}^2}{b_{n-2}} + \frac{1}{2} < b_n + 1, \]
or equivalently,
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{b_{n-1}^2 - b_n b_{n-2}}{b_{n-2}} < \frac{1}{2}. \]

Define the sequence \( c_n \) by
\[ c_n := b_{n-1}^2 - b_n b_{n-2}. \]

From the linear recurrence defining \( b_n \), we know that \( b_n \) is given explicitly by
\[ b_n = 3.902586801 \cdot (1.754877667)^n + (0.04870659984 - 0.09364053397 i)(0.1225611669 + 0.7448617670 i)^n \]
\[ + (0.04870659949 + 0.09364053445 i)(0.1225611669 - 0.7448617670 i)^n, \]
where we have used floating-point numbers for convenience. (To make this rigorous we could instead use rational interval arithmetic. We emphasize that we do not need to solve the characteristic polynomial of the recurrence exactly, although in this case of course we could, since it is a cubic polynomial.)

It follows that the sequence \( c_n \) is given by
\[ c_n = 0.02472469487 \cdot (0.5698402912)^n + (0.4876376523 + 1.233168614 i)(0.2150798545 + 1.307141279 i)^n \]
\[ + (0.4876376524 - 1.233168615 i)(0.2150798545 - 1.307141279 i)^n. \]

Hence, since the absolute value of the largest terms in \( c_n \), \( 0.2150798545\pm1.307141279 i \), is 1.324717958, we have
\[ |c_n| = O(1.324717958^n), \]
and similarly
\[ b_n = \Omega(1.754877667^n), \]
where the implied constants can be easily made explicit if desired. It follows that
\[ \left| \frac{c_n}{b_{n-2}} \right| = O \left( \left( \frac{1.324717958}{1.754877667} \right)^n \right) = O((0.7548776664)^n) \]
and now one can easily find an \( N_0 \) such that \( \left| \frac{c_n}{b_{n-2}} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \) for \( n \geq N_0 \), and the computer can check that this is valid for the first \( N_0 \) values. This completes the proof.

To get the Maple package to carry out this calculation, you would first load the package by typing \texttt{read 'Pisot.txt';} and then running the command
\[ \text{PtoRv}(4, 7, 1/2, 12, 60, 50000); \]

The arguments to \texttt{PtoRv} are the parameters \( x, y, r \) that define the Pisot sequence, then the maximal order of a recurrence you wish to search for (here, 12), then the number of terms of the Pisot sequence \( E_r(x,y) \) you would like printed (here, 60), and finally the number of terms the program should check before giving up (here, 50000). \texttt{PtoRv} is the verbose version; \texttt{PtoR} is more succinct.

By using this program we were able to prove conjectured recurrences for 21 entries in the OEIS: A010901, A010904, A010906–A010913, A010924, A020698, A020704, A020720, ... .

4. The General Case

Suppose we have found a putative sequence \( \{b_n\} \) that appears to agree with a Pisot sequence. Let \( b_n \) satisfy a linear recurrence equation of order \( k \) with constant coefficients, say
\[ b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_i b_{n-i}, \]
for some integer coefficients \( A_1, \ldots, A_k \) and given values of \( b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1} \).

Let \( r_1, \ldots, r_k \) be the \( k \) roots (for the sake of simplicity we assume that they are distinct) of the characteristic polynomial
\[ t^k - \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_i t^{k-i} = 0, \]
and let \( r_1 \) be the largest root in absolute value, which we assume is real and positive. (This is reasonable, given the theorem in Section 2.) Label the roots so that \( r_1 > |r_2| \geq |r_3| \geq \ldots \geq |r_k| \).

It follows that \( b_n \) satisfies a Binet-type formula
\[ b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i r_i^n, \]
for some explicit constants, \( C_1, \ldots, C_k \) that can easily be found by linear algebra, in terms of the initial values \( b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1} \). Hence
\[ c_n := b_{n-1}^2 - b_n b_{n-2} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i r_i^{n-1} \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i r_i^n \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i r_i^{n-2} \right) \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} C_i C_j (r_i^{n-1} r_j^{n-1} - r_i^n r_j^{n-2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} C_i C_j r_i^{n-2} r_j^{n-2} (r_i r_j - r_i^2) \]

\[ = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} C_i C_j r_i^{n-2} r_j^{n-2} (r_i r_j - r_i^2) + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} C_i C_j r_i^{n-2} r_j^{n-2} (r_i r_j - r_i^2) \]

\[ = 0 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} C_i C_j r_i^{n-2} r_j^{n-2} (2r_i r_j - r_i^2 - r_j^2) \]

\[ = - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} C_i C_j r_i^{n-2} r_j^{n-2} (r_i - r_j)^2 . \]

Hence \( |c_n| = O((|r_1| |r_2|)^n) \). We also have that \( b_n = \Omega(r_1^n) \). If \( |r_2| < 1 \), then \( \frac{c_n}{b_{n-2}} \) goes to zero exponentially fast, and to check that

\[ b_n \leq \frac{b_2}{b_{n-2}} + r < b_n + 1 , \]

once again we need to find an \( N_0 \) such that for \( n \geq N_0 \)

\[ -r \leq \frac{c_n}{b_{n-2}} < 1 - r , \]

and check it for the finitely many cases \( n < N_0 \).

5. Why does \( E(30,989)'s \) Doppelgänger Hold for so Many Terms?

We have already mentioned that the Pisot sequence \( E(30,989) \) satisfies the recurrence

\[ a_n = 33a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-2} + 30a_{n-3} - 11a_{n-4} , \]

for \( 4 \leq n \leq 15888 \) but fails for \( n = 15889 \).

If we apply the above analysis to this recurrence, then we find that \( r_2 \) is just outside the unit circle: \( |r_2| = 1.00003759711047 \), and so \( b_n = a_n \) as long as

\[ 0.2751394860 \cdot (1.00003759711047)^n < \frac{1}{2} . \]

Taking logarithms

\[ (0.0003759629325) \cdot n < 0.5973299074 , \]

this is true for \( n \leq 15888 \) but fails beyond that point.

6. Infinite Families

There are many infinite families of Pisot sequences that do satisfy linear recurrences. Already in 1938 Pisot [Pi] showed that if \( x = 2 \) or \( x = 3 \) then \( E(x,y) \) satisfies a linear recurrence of low order,
and determined the coefficients. A very large number of other families with \( x \) in the range 4 to 20 can be viewed here:

http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/oPisot5.txt

For the record here are the first few examples with \( x = 4, 5, 6 \). We denote the unique solution of the linear recurrence (of order \( m \))

\[
a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i a_{n-i} \quad a_0 = d_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} = d_m,
\]

by the pair of lists

\[
[[d_1, \ldots, d_m], [A_1, \ldots, A_m]].
\]

For \( k \geq 1 \) (and sometimes, if it makes sense, for \( k = 0 \)), we have:

\[
E(4, 16k + 1) = [[4, 16k + 1], [4k, k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 2) = [[4, 16k + 2, 64k^2 + 16k + 1], [1 + 4k, -2k, -k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 5) = [[4, 16k + 5], [2 + 4k, -1 - 3k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 7) = [[4, 16k + 7, 64k^2 + 56k + 12], [2 + 4k, -1 - k, 1 + 2k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 9) = [[4, 16k + 9, 64k^2 + 72k + 20], [2 + 4k, k, 1 + 2k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 10) = [[4, 16k + 10, 64k^2 + 80k + 25], [3 + 4k, -2 - 2k, 2 + 3k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 11) = [[4, 16k + 11], [2 + 4k, 2 + 3k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 14) = [[4, 16k + 14, 64k^2 + 112k + 49], [4 + 4k, -2 - 2k, 1 + k]],
\]

\[
E(4, 16k + 15) = [[4, 16k + 15], [4 + 4k, -1 - k]],
\]

\[
E(5, 25k + 1) = [[5, 25k + 1], [5k, k]],
\]

\[
E(5, 25k + 2) = [[5, 25k + 2, 125k^2 + 20k + 1], [5k, 2k, k]],
\]

\[
E(5, 25k + 3) = [[5, 25k + 3, 125k^2 + 30k + 2, 625k^3 + 225k^2 + 29k + 1], [5k, 3k, 2k, k]].
\]

\[
E(6, 36k + 1) = [[6, 36k + 1], [6k, k]],
\]

\[
E(6, 36k + 2) = [[6, 36k + 2, 216k^2 + 24k + 1], [6k, 2k, k]],
\]

\[
E(6, 36k + 3) = [[6, 36k + 3, 216k^2 + 36k + 2, 1296k^3 + 324k^2 + 33k + 1], [1 + 6k, -3k, -k, -k]],
\]

\[
\ldots.
\]
Note that Pisot [P1], Cantor [C2], Boyd [B5] already observed that the Pisot sequences $E_r(x,y)$ tend to form families whose properties depend on the value of $y \mod x^2$. That is, the sequences $E_r(x,kx^2+j)$, $k = 0,1,2,\ldots$ all tend to satisfy similar linear recurrences, or appear not to satisfy such a recurrence. The above examples are consistent with this observation.

It is likely that some of our results for $x = 4$ and $5$ were already known to Galyean [Ga], but we have not been able to get access to his dissertation.

It is also possible to find doubly-infinite (i.e. two-parameter) families, but we stop here.

7. Higher-Order Generalizations

A crucial property of Pisot sequences is that $a_n a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}^2$ is small compared to $a_n$. Since

$$a_n a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}^2 = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} \end{pmatrix},$$

it is natural to generalize the definition, and to consider sequences for which, for some $s > 1$, the Hankel determinant

$$\Delta_s := \det \begin{pmatrix} a_n & \ldots & a_{n+s} \\ a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+s+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+s} & \ldots & a_{n+2s} \end{pmatrix}$$

is small.

Note that for any sequence that satisfies a linear recurrence with constant coefficients of order $s$, the above determinant is identically zero.

Let us define $F_s$ and $G_s$ by writing

$$\Delta_s = a_{n+2s} F_s(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2s-1}) - G_s(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2s-1}).$$

Then we define an order-$s$ Pisot sequence, $E_r(a_0, \ldots, a_{2s-1})$ with parameter $r$ ($0 \leq r \leq 1$) by the rules that for $0 \leq n \leq 2s - 1$ the value is $a_n$, and for $n \geq 0$ we have

$$a_{n+2s} = \left\lfloor \frac{G_s(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2s-1})}{F_s(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2s-1})} + r \right\rfloor.$$

A calculation analogous to that in Section 4 shows that a necessary condition for a linear recurrence with constant coefficients to be an order-$s$ generalized Pisot sequence is that the $(s+1)$-st largest absolute value of the roots is less then 1. (Presumably there is also an analog of the theorem in Section 2 which applies here.) See the output file

http://www.math.rutgers.edu/ zeilberg/tokhniot/oPisot4.txt
for numerous examples.
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